

ASSOCIATE MINISTER OF DEFENCE

Defence Force: Burnham Regional Supply Facility

October 2025

This paper seeks agreement to begin construction of the Burnham Regional Supply Facility (RSF), and to draw down the funding for the project.

The pack comprises the following documents:

- August 2025 Cabinet Expenditure and Regulatory Review Committee Minute of Decision: *Defence Force: Burnham Regional Supply Facility* [EXP-25-MIN-0079]; and
- The associated Cabinet Paper: *Defence Force: Burnham Regional Supply Facility*.

This pack has been released on the New Zealand Defence Force website, available at: www.nzdf.mil.nz/nzdf/search-our-libraries/documents/?document-type=Official+information&sort=relevance.

Information has been withheld in accordance with:

- section 6(a) of the OIA: *where the making available of that information would be likely to prejudice the security or defence of New Zealand or the international relations of the Government of New Zealand;*
- section 9(2)(b)(ii) of the OIA: *where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information;*
- section 9(2)(f)(iv) of the OIA: *to maintain the constitutional conventions for the time being which protect the confidentiality of advice tendered by Ministers of the Crown and officials; and*
- section 9(2)(j) of the OIA: *to enable a Minister of the Crown or any department or organisation holding the information to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations.*

In addition, Appendix 1 to the paper (*Consolidated Logistics Project Implementation Business Case*) has been withheld in full in accordance with sections 9(2)(f)(iv) and 9(2)(j) of the OIA. The public interest is met with a summary of the key information that formed the basis of Cabinet's decision to invest this funding being provided by the Cabinet paper.



Cabinet Expenditure and Regulatory Review Committee

Minute of Decision

This document contains information for the New Zealand Cabinet. It must be treated in confidence and handled in accordance with any security classification, or other endorsement. The information can only be released, including under the Official Information Act 1982, by persons with the appropriate authority.

Defence Force: Burnham Regional Supply Facility

Portfolio Associate Defence

On 12 August 2025, the Cabinet Expenditure and Regulatory Review Committee:

1 **noted** that as part of Budget 2025, Cabinet:

- 1.1 agreed to establish the following tagged operating and capital contingencies for the construction of the fourth infrastructure build within the Consolidated Logistics Project, which will deliver the Burnham Regional Supply Facility (a centralised warehouse to house deployable supply, regional equipment pool and goods distribution function at Burnham Military Camp):

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 1.2 agreed that drawdown of these contingencies is subject to Cabinet's approval of an Implementation Business Case;

- 1.3 agreed that the expiry date for the above tagged operating and capital contingencies be 31 March 2026;

[CAB-25-MIN-0126.19]

- 2 **noted** that a rephrasing of the tagged capital and operating contingencies is required to align with the updated funding profile;

- 3 **agreed** to rephase the established tagged operating and capital contingencies for Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp as follows:

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/ 26	2026/ 27	2027/ 28	2028/ 29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 4 **noted** that a capital to operating tagged contingency swap is required to meet the funding shortfall in operating expenses;
- 5 **agreed** to a fiscally neutral capital to operating tagged contingency swap, with the following impacts on the operating and capital tagged contingency amounts:

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/ 26	2026/ 27	2027/ 28	2028/ 29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	-	-	s.9(2)(j)	-	-
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency	-	-		-	-

- 6 **noted** that the capital to operating swap in paragraph 5 above will have a corresponding OBEGALx impact of s.9(2)(j) in the 2027/28 financial year;
- 7 **approved** the changes to the tagged contingencies in paragraphs 3 and 5 above;
- 8 **noted** the rephased profile of the tagged capital and operating contingencies following the changes in paragraphs 3 and 5 above:

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 9 **approved** the Burnham Regional Supply Facility Project Implementation Business Case, attached to the paper under EXP-25-SUB-0079;
- 10 **agreed** that, as the further work described in paragraph 1 above has been satisfactorily completed, and noting the changes in paragraphs 3 and 5 above, Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp can now proceed;
- 11 **authorised** the Chief of Defence Force to commit and approve expenditure of public money up to the amount of \$82.700 million for the construction of the Burnham Regional Supply Facility;
- 12 **approved** the following changes to appropriations and departmental capital injections to provide for the decision in paragraph 10 above, with a corresponding impact on the operating balance and net core Crown debt:

Vote Defence Force Minister of Defence	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Defence Force Capabilities Prepared for Joint Operations and Other Tasks MCA (M22) (A27) Departmental Output Expenses: Army Capabilities Prepared for Joint Operations and Other Tasks (funded by revenue Crown)	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Protection of New Zealand and New Zealanders MCA Departmental Output Expenses: Defence International Engagement (funded by revenue Crown)					
Assistance to the Civil Power and Provision of a Public Service in Emergency Situations: (funded by revenue Crown)					
Military Assistance to Civil Authorities in Non-Emergency Situations: (funded by revenue Crown)					
Defence Support to the Community: (funded by revenue Crown)					
New Zealand Defence Force: Capital Injection					
Total Operating					
Total Capital					

- 13 **agreed** that the changes to appropriations and capital injections for 2025/26 above be included in the 2025/26 Supplementary Estimates and that, in the interim, the increases be met from Imprest Supply;
- 14 **agreed** that the departmental capital injections incurred under paragraph 12 above be charged against the construction of Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp Tagged Capital Contingency as described in paragraph 8 above;
- 15 **agreed** that expenses incurred under paragraph 12 above be charged against upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Camp Tagged Operating Contingency as described in paragraph 8 above;

- 16 **noted** that following the adjustments detailed in paragraphs 14 and 15 above, the remaining balances and indicative phasing of the tagged operating and capital contingencies described in paragraph 7 above will be:

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	-	-	-	s.9(2)(f)(iv)	
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency	-	-	s.9(2)(f)(iv)	-	-

- 17 **noted** that following the adjustments detailed in paragraphs 14 and 15 above, the remaining tagged operating and capital contingencies described in paragraph 1 above are not required and therefore closed.

Tom Kelly
Committee Secretary

Present:


Hon David Seymour (Chair)
Rt Hon Winston Peters
Hon Chris Bishop
Hon Simeon Brown
Hon Erica Stanford
Hon Paul Goldsmith
Hon Judith Collins KC
Hon Mark Mitchell
Hon Brooke van Velden
Hon Shane Jones
Hon Casey Costello
Hon Chris Penk
Hon Andrew Hoggard
Hon Mark Patterson

Officials present from:

Officials Committee for EXP
Office of the Minister of Defence
Office of the Minister of Corrections
New Zealand Defence Force

DEFENCE FORCE: BURNHAM REGIONAL SUPPLY FACILITY

Proposal

1. The Defence Capability Plan (DCP) outlined the critical enablers that allow NZDF to adapt to the world around us. Equip the Force is fundamental to managing a larger array of equipment and is included as a near term investment within DCP 2025.
2. The Consolidated Logistics Project (CLP) Infrastructure consists of five new builds across the NZDF to store, manage, distribute, receipt and evaluate equipment. This Project Implementation Business Case (PIBC) is for Build 4, Burnham Regional Supply Facility (RSF). Cabinet approved a Detailed Business Case (DBC) refresh for CLP in June 2020¹ reaffirming the value proposition offered by CLP. This required CLP to return to Cabinet with PIBCs for each of the five planned builds.
3. s.9(2)(j)

4. This paper seeks approval of:
 - a. Project Implementation Business Case (PIBC) to begin construction of the Burnham Regional Supply Facility (RSF) as part of the CLP;
 - b. re-phasing of the tagged contingencies to align with the updated funding profile;
 - c. implementation of a capital to operating tagged contingency swap; and
 - d. draw down of \$16.319 million in 4-year operating uplift and \$82.700 million capital.

Relation to government priorities

5. Continued investment in the NZDF is necessary to achieve the Government's Defence Capability Plan. Investment contributes to New Zealand's active defence policy agenda, enhancing our security and underpinning our prosperity. It also signals that the Government will be vigilant in the protection of the values of democracy, freedom and security.
6. An investment in the Burnham RSF is consistent with the approved Defence Capability Plan 2025 and tagged contingencies allocated in Budget 25 to construct this facility³.

¹ CAB-20-MIN-0296 refers.

² CAB-25-MIN-0126.19 refers.

³ CAB 25 MIN 0126.19 Initiative No:16968 refers

Executive Summary

7. In 2024 CLP went to market to select the design and build Contractor to deliver the Burnham RSF at a fixed price. The successful Contractor was Leighs Construction. The approach and contract was approved internally by the Special Procurement Assurance Board (SPAB) on 27 September 2024. A signed fixed price contract to NZs3916:2013 specifications is in place, with the initiation of construction subject to Cabinet approval of funding. This investment requires \$82.700M in capital injection and \$7.282M in operating uplift at steady state from 2028/29.
8. Construction will begin later this year; the facility will be ready for use by Q1 2028 and at approved Operating Capacity by Q1 2029.

Background


9. Logistics is central in enabling the NZDF to support Government's priorities for Defence. It is the combination of people, processes, technology, and infrastructure that ensures NZDF's personnel have the right equipment, at the right place and time to enable missions to be conducted safely and effectively.
10. Military logistics is perpetually changing. The capabilities brought into service by the NZDF are growing increasingly complex, requiring modern tools and techniques to support them. Since 2000, the volume and range of equipment within Defence's scope to manage has significantly increased. To support this change, Defence Logistics requires fit-for-purpose infrastructure across both North and South Islands to better enable the distribution, storage, organisation, repair and maintenance of equipment.
11. Burnham Camp is a New Zealand Army Camp located in Canterbury. Burnham houses regular and reserve Army units. Burnham is also the primary South Island response location for Army's Humanitarian and Disaster Relief (HADR) efforts.
12. The Burnham RSF replaces post World-War-Two era facilities. s.6(a)

13. The facility will be an almost identical replica of the Linton RSF, which is under construction, aside from adaptations required for site specific conditions such as geotechnical and environmental loadings among other considerations.



Figure 1: Artist impression of the Burnham Regional Supply Facility

14. The Burnham RSF includes an 8500m2 integrated main supply building. There are a number of ancillary buildings, hazardous materials storage and bond store. The project includes realignment of an internal camp road, and secure gates for controlling traffic at the perimeter of the camp. It will also have additional functions; Camp Clothing Store, Defence Health Stores and additional storage for the Network Enabled Army programme.
15. The Burnham RSF will consolidate four supply functions into a single facility:
 - a. Deployable Supply Company: s.6(a)
[REDACTED]
 - b. Equipment Pool: The equipment pool will hold all shared common equipment, it is anticipated that this pool will hold approximately s.6(a) of the Army's equipment.
 - c. Goods Distribution: Burnham camp will operate a central Goods Distribution function from the RSF to control goods and freight coming into and out of the camp, reducing the plethora of delivery vehicles transiting the camp daily.
 - d. Garrison Support: This function supports the administration of the garrison and the distribution, use and availability of general-purpose operational stores and consumables (known as Camp Q) required to be used by all units.
16. The RSF design has been carefully controlled to ensure the project delivers a minimum viable product which still meets requirements. The building has been designed to be flexible and configurable to account for future demands.
17. As described in the CLP Detailed Business Case, the Burnham RSF is the fourth of five planned infrastructure builds for the project. The fifth and final build under CLP will be a regional vehicle storage facility at Linton Army Camp. Defence will return to Cabinet with a business case in 2027/2028.
18. The latest Gateway Review was completed in April 2024 the rating was assessed as Green/Amber, with the largest risk noted as "the uncertainty of future funding", which is being addressed through this PIBC and the remaining project is identified within the Defence Capability Plan 2025.

The construction of this facility will boost the local economy

19. It is anticipated there will be a similar investment profile of the Contractor (LT McGuinness), delivering the facility at Linton Military Camp in the Manawatu. That project has seen more than 80% of total spend remain in the region. We envisage a similar spend profile within Christchurch and the Canterbury region.
20. In 2024, Defence conducted a competitive tender process to select a “design and build” contractor for the project. s.9(2)(j)
The tender evaluation team confirmed that the preferred contractor for the services is Leighs Construction.
21. Leighs Construction offered the best value for money, s.9(2)(j)
The approach and contract were approved by SPAB on 27 September 2024.
22. Leighs Construction are currently onsite delivering the Burnham MSF, which is three weeks ahead of schedule and within project budget. Leighs Construction have delivered a high-quality facility, that meets all contractual obligations. The build to date has exceeded NZDF's expectations.
23. Leighs Construction is a New Zealand owned and operated, highly reputable construction company with a strong track record of delivering design and build projects. Leighs have proven experience at this scale and level of project complexity.
24. A fully signed contract with a fixed price is in place (NZs3916:2013). Approval of this PIBC will allow NZDF to initiate Separable Portion 2 (Construction).

Implementation

25. Current proposed contractual milestones can be found below.

Milestone/Activity	Timeframe
Construction begins	Q3 2025
Construction complete	Q4 2027
Interim Operating Release	Q1 2028
Operational Release	Q1 2029

Financial Implications

26. The Burnham RSF investment has a net present value of \$106.592 million, discounted at 2%, over an appraisal period of 25 years. This comprises of \$100.103 million in capital expenditure and \$22.573 million in operating costs:

Table 1: Whole of Life Cost

CLP Burnham RSF Construction (\$M)	Total
Capital Investment	
Burnham RSF Construction	
Construction	s.9(2)(b)(ii)
Furniture, Fittings & Equipment & Soft Fit-Out	
Computers & Information Systems	
Contingency: design development – applied to construction costs	
External Project Management	
Total Construction	
CAPEX Infrastructure Programme Team	
Project Contingency	
Initial Capital Investment	
CAPEX Renewals (not sought in this PIBC)	
Total Capital Investment	
Through life Costs (OPEX)	
Facilities Management, Servicing Fees	
Uplift in facility operating costs (incl. utilities)	
Maintenance	
Renewals	
Total Through life Costs (OPEX)	
Total Whole of Life Cost	
Net Present Value	\$106.592

27. The whole of life cost also includes capital renewals of \$17.403 million which will be included as part of the NZDF Infrastructure Renewal Programme for future funding considerations. This facility has an initial capital cost of \$82.700 million.
28. The Quantitative Risk Assessment process estimated capital expenditure at the P95 and included a project contingency of s.9(2)(j) the revised base construction and professional services total of s.9(2)(j) .
29. Total net operating expenditure (incl. depreciation and capital charge) are estimated at s.9(2)(f)(iv) per annum at steady state from 2028/29. The 4-Year operating uplift required over the forecast period is s.9(2)(f)(iv)

Table 2: Operating cost

Net Operating Expenditure (\$m)	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29 and out years	4-Year Total
Operating Costs	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Infrastructure Support					
Depreciation					
Capital Charge					
Total					

30. In Budget 2025, Cabinet agreed to establish tagged contingencies for upgrading the RSF and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp. The tagged contingencies provide funding for an initial capital investment s.9(2)(f)(iv) and 4-year operating uplift s.9(2)(f)(iv) at a steady state from FY2028/29 onwards:

Table 3: Tagged Contingency

Initiative No: 16968 \$m – increase/(decrease)	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29 & Outyears	4-Year Total
Tagged Capital Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Tagged Operating Contingency					
Total					

31. Tagged capital contingency is more than sufficient to cover the estimated capital cost to complete construction, with a surplus of s.9(2)(j)

Table 4: Investment Capex cost estimate vs Tagged Capital Contingency

Capital Expenditure	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	Total
Cost Estimate (PIBC)	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Tagged Capital Contingency					
Surplus/(Deficit)					

32. However, there is a shortfall in tagged operating contingency required to cover the estimated operating cost of \$2.069 million in the first 4 years of the forecast period:

Table 5: Investment Opex cost estimate vs Tagged Operating Contingency

Net Operating Expenditure	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29 (Steady State)	Total
Cost Estimate (PIBC)	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Tagged Operating Contingency					
Surplus/(Deficit)	(0.252)	(1.031)	(1.176)	0.390	(2.069)

33. Defence Force is seeking approval from Cabinet to address this shortfall by implementing a tagged contingency capital to operating swap as well as implementing the rephasing:

Capital	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	Total
PIBC costing	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Tagged Capital Contingency - rephased					
Capital to one-off operating					
Surplus/(Deficit)					

Table 6: Revised and rephase Tagged Capital and Operating contingency

Operating	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	Total
PIBC	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Tagged Operating Contingency - rephased					
Capital to one-off operating					
Surplus/(Deficit)					

34. The requested changes will result in surplus capital tagged capital contingency of s.9(2)(j) and surplus tagged operating contingency s.9(2)(j) which will be returned to Treasury.

Other Implications

35. There is no cost of living, legislative, population, or human rights implications from this paper.

Use of external Resources

36. No external resources were used in the development of the policy advice present in this paper.

Consultation

37. This paper was prepared by the Ministry of Defence and the New Zealand Defence Force. It has been consulted with the Treasury.

Communications

38. If funded, the communication of this project will be coordinated through the Office of the Minister of Defence.

Proactive Release

39. This paper will be proactively released following appropriate redactions to protect national security and commercial arrangements.

Recommendations

The Minister of Defence recommends that the Committee:

- 1 **Note** that as part of Budget 25, Cabinet [CAB-25-MIN-0126.19]:

- 1.1 **agreed** to establish a tagged operating and capital contingency for the construction of the fourth infrastructure build within the Consolidated Logistics Project. This funding will deliver the Burnham Regional Supply Facility: a centralised warehouse to house deployable supply, regional equipment pool and goods distribution function at Burnham Military Camp;

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 1.2 **agreed** that drawdown of these contingencies is subject to Cabinet's approval of an Implementation Business Case;
- 1.3 **agreed** that the expiry date for the above tagged operating and capital contingencies be 31 March 2026;
- 2 **note** that a rephasing of the tagged capital and operating contingencies is required to align with the updated funding profile.
- 3 **agree** to rephasing the established tagged operating and capital contingencies for Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp;

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/ 26	2026/ 27	2027/ 28	2028/ 29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 4 **note** that a capital to operating tagged contingency swap is required to meet the funding shortfall in operating expenses;
- 5 **agree** to a fiscally neutral capital to operating tagged contingency swap, with the following impacts on the operating and capital tagged contingency amounts;

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/ 26	2026/ 27	2027/ 28	2028/ 29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	-	-	s.9(2)(j)	-	-
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency	-	-		-	-

- 6 **note** the capital to operating swap in recommendation 5 above will have a corresponding OBEGALx impact of s.9(2)(j) in the 2027/28 financial year;
- 7 **approve** the changes to the tagged contingencies in recommendations 3 and 5 above;
- 8 **note** the rephased profile of the tagged capital and operating contingencies following the agreed changes in recommendations 3 and 5 above:

\$M – increase/(decrease)

	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency					

- 9 **approve** the Burnham Regional Supply Facility Project Implementation Business Case;
- 10 **agree** that, as the further work described in recommendation 1 above has been satisfactorily completed, and noting the changes in recommendation 3 and 5 has been actioned, Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp can now proceed;
- 11 **authorise** the Chief of Defence Force to commit and approve expenditure of public money up to the amount of \$82.700 million for the construction of the Burnham Regional Supply Facility;
- 12 **approve** the following changes to appropriations and departmental capital injections to provide for the decision in recommendation 10 above, with a corresponding impact on the operating balance and net core Crown debt:

Vote Defence Force Minister of Defence	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Defence Force Capabilities Prepared for Joint Operations and Other Tasks MCA (M22) (A27) Departmental Output Expenses: Army Capabilities Prepared for Joint Operations and Other Tasks (funded by revenue Crown)	s.9(2)(f)(iv)				
Protection of New Zealand and New Zealanders MCA Departmental Output Expenses: Defence International Engagement (funded by revenue Crown)					
Assistance to the Civil Power and Provision of a Public Service in Emergency Situations: (funded by revenue Crown)					
Military Assistance to Civil Authorities in Non-Emergency Situations: (funded by revenue Crown)					
Defence Support to the Community: (funded by revenue Crown)					

New Zealand Defence Force: Capital Injection	s.9(2)(f)(iv)
Total Operating	
Total Capital	

- 13 **agree** that the proposed changes to appropriations and capital injections for 2025/26 above be included in the 2025/26 Supplementary Estimates and that, in the interim, the increases be met from Imprest Supply;
- 14 **agree** that the departmental capital injections incurred under recommendation 12 above be charged against the construction of Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp Tagged Capital Contingency as described in recommendation 8 above;
- 15 **agree** that expenses incurred under recommendation 12 above be charged against upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Camp Tagged Operating Contingency as described in recommendation 8 above;
- 16 **note** that following the adjustments detailed in recommendations 14 and 15 above, the remaining balances and indicative phasing of the tagged operating and capital contingencies described in recommendation 7 above will be:

	\$M – increase/(decrease)				
	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30 & Outyears
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Operating Contingency	-	-	-	s.9(2)(f)(iv)	
Upgrading the Regional Supply Facility and Logistics Model at Burnham Military Camp - Tagged Capital Contingency	-	-	s.9(2)(f)(iv)	-	-

- 17 **note** that following the adjustments detailed in recommendations 14 and 15 above, the remaining tagged operating and capital contingencies described in recommendation 1 above are not required and therefore closed.

Authorised for lodgement

Hon Chris Penk
Associate Minister of Defence

Appendix 1: Consolidated Logistics Project Burnham Regional Supply Facility Project Implementation Business Case.